



Research Development Program (RDP) Application Form
Deadline: Friday, April 10th, 2026, by 4 PM (AST)

Research proposals will be evaluated according to how well they meet the criteria. Please work on a downloaded version of this form. This competition is open to **CRISM Atlantic Node members only**.

Name of Principal Applicant (Primary contact for research project):	
Academic Affiliation (For reporting and transfer of funding purposes):	
Email Address:	

Name of Co-Applicants (See Appendix A for Team Member definition)	Academic Affiliation	Project Role	Email Address

Name of Collaborators/Partners (See Appendix A for Team Member definition)	Academic Affiliation	Project Role	To identify Collaborators or Partners, use "C" or "P"	Email Address



Please select the category of your project proposal:

Emerging Project (Maximum amount: \$10,000)
 Development of a new research protocol or,
 Data generation or structuring activities

Promising Project (Maximum amount: \$15,000)
 Enhance existing research protocol
 (*Please include reviewers' comments & project ranking as supporting documents)
Competition submitted:

Please specify how the funds requested will be allocated:

Salary supplement for research team contributors (i.e., RAs, community members, Persons with lived and living expertise (PWLLE))
Amount:

Justification:

Salary supplement for research team contributors (i.e., RAs, community members, Persons with lived and living expertise (PWLLE))

Amount:

Justification:

Student scholarship supplement
Amount:

Justification:

Data Collection/ Additional data considerations
Amount:

Justification:

Community and/or partner engagement activities
Amount:

Justification:

Consultation expertise in methodology
Amount:

Justification:

Scientific writing
Amount:

Justification:

Community and/or partner engagement activities
Amount:

Justification:

Participant Compensation
Amount:

Justification:

Text editing/ translation
Amount:

Justification:

Other (please specify)
Amount:

Justification:

Fees for working sessions
Amount:

Justification:

Other (please specify)
Amount:

Justification:

Other (please specify)
Amount:

Justification:



1A. Was there a recent competition to which this project was submitted (e.g. CIHR; SSHRC; FRQS)?

Yes

No

If yes, please list below and include as supporting documents, the reviewers' comments and project ranking, if known.

1B. This funding is intended as seed funding. Are there any future funding competitions for which this project will be positioned as a result of this work?

Yes

No

If yes, please specify the target funding opportunity(ies) and the expected submission timeline. Briefly describe how the proposed project will directly support the development of a competitive future funding application.



Research Proposal
(word limits include spaces)

2. Project Title

3. Your rationale and objectives (500 words maximum) Describe the research problem and clearly state the primary and secondary objectives. Explain the project's significance and its scientific merit.



4. Methodology (300 words maximum)

Describe study design, data collection and analysis methods, data security, access protocols, and governance structures. Where applicable, include how First Nations partners will be able to retain Ownership, Control, Access, and Possession (OCAP®) of all data and how Inuit partnerships will adhere to ITK's five priority areas of the National Inuit Strategy on Research Principles of Inuit (NISR). If any innovative tools or methodologies will be used for this project, please specify them here.



5. Feasibility (300 words maximum) Specify feasibility, planning, timelines, anticipated challenges, and where relevant, mitigation measures. Include how the proposed timeline and budget are realistic for the project.



6. Involvement of Partners (250 words maximum) Explain the role of Partners throughout the submission process and within the proposed project. Include how meaningful and respectful engagement will take place (See Appendix A for Team Member definition)



7. Relevance to CRISM (300 words maximum)

Describe how this project aligns with the objectives of the Research Development Program. If not addressed elsewhere in the application, outline how the project may integrate one or more priority areas: Indigenous Peoples; Persons with Lived and Living Experience; and Sex & Gender perspectives. Explain how meaningful co-design & the diverse experiences of priority area members will inform each project stage. Include how engagement and collaboration will be conducted in a respectful and culturally safe manner.



8. Experience of team members (200 words maximum) Please highlight the research team's relevant experience in relation to this project. CVs to be attached separately.

9. Supporting documents/ justifications (maximum of 2 documents, max 6 pages total, excluding CVs) If attaching supporting documents, please specify the title of the document and be sure to attach it to your application.

Deadline for submitting your project proposal

Interested CRISM Atlantic Members must submit the research proposal form by **Friday, April 10th, 2026, before 4 pm (AST)** by e-mail: [\[crismatlantic@gmail.com\]](mailto:crismatlantic@gmail.com). To apply to become a CRISM Atlantic member click here: [CRISM Atlantic](#). Please do not hesitate to contact us at this email if you have any questions or concerns.



Appendix A: Definition of Team Members

Note: As you work through defining team members' roles, it is important to remember that CRISM funding is provided through the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (CIHR). In keeping with CIHR agency guidelines, funded projects need to be linked to an academic appointment. That person can take on the role of Principal Applicant or Co-Applicant in our funding applications. We've included an FAQ section where you can learn more about this and other frequently asked questions.

For this Research Development Program (RDP) Call, project team members beyond the Principal Applicant (PA), are designated as co-applicants; collaborators; or partners based on their primary role. The PA determines team composition, and all members must be listed on the application. Team members may be involved in any aspect of the project, including study conception, design, implementation, analysis, knowledge translation and/or dissemination.

Co-Applicants are individuals who share responsibility for the project's scientific leadership, governance, and delivery. Co-applicants typically contribute to study conception, design, implementation, analysis, and reporting, and are accountable for project outcomes.

Examples of Co-Applicants may include:

- Person(s) with Lived and Living Expertise
- Indigenous Peoples
- Academic researchers; clinician-scientists; statisticians
- Health professionals serving as co-investigators
- Trainees formally named on the research team

Collaborators are individuals or organizations that contribute to the scientific or technical execution of the research but are not formally listed as a co-applicants. Collaborators may provide specialized expertise, access to data or sites, or methodological support. Collaborators are often involved in activities such as study design, data collection, analysis, or dissemination.

In addition to team members **examples above** (see Co-Applicants), **Collaborators may include:**

- Clinicians assisting with recruitment or data collection
- Academic researchers contributing specific expertise
- Analysts, evaluators, or technical consultants
- Individuals who provide specific service (e.g., training in a specialized technique; access to equipment; statistical analysis; and/or patient population)

Partners are individuals, groups, or organizations that contribute primarily as knowledge users or stakeholders, helping to ensure that the research is grounded in real-world context and positioned for uptake beyond academia. Partners are not part of the core research team and are not expected to conduct the research itself.

Partners may include persons with living or lived experience (PWLLE), community organizations, service providers, health system leaders, Indigenous communities, organizations or governing bodies, and policy-adjacent stakeholders. PWLLE are considered partners when they contribute experiential knowledge to inform project priorities, interpretation, or dissemination, rather than serving in a formal research role.

In addition to the **examples** of team members above (under Co-Applicants & Collaborators), **examples of Partners** may include:

- PWLLE or groups representing lived/living experience
- Community-based or service delivery organizations
- Health authorities or program administrators
- Indigenous organizations or community leadership
- Policy or system-level stakeholders